

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/921,922	TROLINDER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	David H Kruse	1638	

**All Participants:**

(1) David H Kruse.

(2) David H Milligan.

**Date of Interview:** 24 June 2004

**Status of Application:** Allowance

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** PM

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

NONE

Claims discussed:

22 & 28

Prior art documents discussed:

NONE

**Part II.**


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant's attorney approved minor amendment to claim 22 and to the Title and Abstract, and correction of dependency for claim 28.

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)